

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,346	04/30/2001	George Jackowski	2132.013	3157
7.	590 07/22/2002			
Michael A. Slavin McHale & Slavin, P.A. 4440 PGA Blvd., Suite 402			EXAMINER	
			GABEL, GAILENE	
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	0
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/846,346	JACKOWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		MONTH (O) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	April 2002 .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is
Disposition of Claims 4) M. Claim(a), 1.35 in/ore pending in the application			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	€
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• • •		
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2, drawn to a biopolymer marker having SEQ ID NO. 1, classified in class 436, subclass 86, for example.
 - II. Claims 3-28, drawn to method and kit for identifying a biopolymer marker, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.
 - III. Claims 29-32, drawn to antibody, classified in class 436, subclass 548, for example.
 - IV. Claims 33-35, drawn to method of treating a disease state, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the biopolymer marker of Invention I can be incorporated into a biosensor for use in protein binding kinetic studies.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1641

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects in that the biopolymer marker of Invention I is a protein that functions as a specific disease marker and the antibody of Invention III functions as a binder for the protein in an assay.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the biopolymer marker of Invention I can be incorporated into gel microdrops to assess in vitro function.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody of Invention III can be used as a binding protein to assess kinetic interactions and titration equilibrium.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 1641

functions, and different effects in that the method of Invention II utilizes a kit to identify a biopolymer marker and the method of Invention IV utilizes a biopolymer marker in assessing therapeutic response in a disease state.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects in that Invention III is a polyclonal or monoclonal antibody and Invention IV utilizes a biopolymer marker in assessing therapeutic response in a disease state.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Literature search for each method and product is distinct since the structural requirements of each invention are different. While searches would be expected to overlap, there is no reason to expect the searches to be coextensive.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1641

Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gailene R. Gabel whose telephone number is (703)

305-0807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 6:30 AM -

4:00 PM and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (703) 308-3399. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for

regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0196.

Gailene R. Gabel July 1, 2002

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1880 1641

Christoph L. Chin